



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,553	12/11/2003	Wai T. Lam	34826-1014	7752
<div>7590 Kaye Scholer LLP 425 Park Avenue New York, NY 10022-3598</div>			<div>EXAMINER PATEL, HETUL B</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT 2186</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE 11/14/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE PAPER</div>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/733,553	LAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hetul Patel	2186	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hetul Patel. (3)_____

(2) Jonathan Tyler (Reg. No: 52,308). (4)_____

Date of Interview: 08 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Klevin et al. (USPN: 5,873,101).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During phone conversation, Attorney explained the claimed invention and alleged that the recording step of claim 1 is not disclosed by the Klevin prior art and it cannot be inherent as presented in the last office action. Examiner disagreed with Attorney and explained why it has to be inherent feature of the Klevin prior art. No agreement was reached. Attorney will submit the remarks/amendment in the next official response to PTO..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

H. B. Patel
Examiner's signature, if required